



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,899	03/01/2004	Ing-Song Lin	250112-1030	2584	
24504	7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			RATCLIFFE, LUKE D		
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			3662		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,899	LIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Luke D. Ratcliffe	3662		

	Luke D. Ratcille	3002					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tota a lata a da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mont	hs of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		41				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmo	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 11-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the data of filing a t	letice of Appeal will be	at he entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						

NOTE: The structure claimed in claim 1 was not searched in any prior search and an additional search is necessary.

Luke Roxliffe Phone 571-272-3110

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

Monus D Jaren

2